

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/536,053	03/27/2000	Michael K. Just	0500.9912151	5651		
23418	7590 03/16/2006		EXAMINER			
VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ			ZAND, KAMBIZ			
222 N. LAS. CHICAGO.	ALLE STREET IL 60601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2132			
				DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/536,053	JUST, MICHAEL K	.•		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kambiz Zand	2132			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	ress		
Period for Reply	(10.077.70.5VDID5.4M	NITH (O) OD THERTY (O)	\ D A \ (0		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe	ebruary 2006.				
· ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the	merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-7,9-15,17-26,28-35,37,38 and 40-4	4 is/are pending in the app	lication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40-44</u> is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7,10,12,14,17,18,20-26,29-35 and 3</u>	38 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>9,11,13,15,19,28 and 37</u> is/are object					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 March 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTC	D-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			V		
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	eceived in this National S	stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.	1 1		
AM-24		KAMBIZ PRIMARY E	ZAND XAMINER		
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Si	ımmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	450)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/536,053 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

- The text of those sections of Title 35,U.S.Code not included in this section can be found in the prior office action.
- The prior office actions are incorporated herein by reference. In particular, the observations with respect to claim language, and response to previously presented arguments.
- 4. Claims 8,16,27,36 and 39 have been canceled.
- 5. Claims 1-7, 9-15, 17-26, 28-35, 37-38 and 40-44 are pending.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Examiner suggests applicant to incorporate lines 11-14 of page 5 of the specification into independent claims language to expedite the examination process.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-7, 10, 12, 14, 17, 18, 20-25 and 29-35 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geist (6,757,827 B1) in view of

Art Unit: 2132

Schmeidler et al. (6,763,370 B1); and further in view of Bisbee et al (6,367,013 B1).

As per claims 1, 17, 20 and 29 Geist discloses the determination of a digital signature verification error (see abstract; see fig.2-3 and associated text; col.2, lines 44-62) and the generation of a digital signature verification map (see col.4, lines 45-67; col.5-col.6, line 38; fig.1-3) but do not explicitly disclose association of the digital signature with corresponding public key (generating digital signature using public key). However Schmeidler et al. (6,763,370 B1) disclose association of the digital signature with corresponding public key (generating digital signature using public key) see col.26, lines 55-59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Schmeidler's public key digital signature algorithm in Geist's method, apparatus and storage medium's signature verification method steps and means in order to provide security to protect the value of the content and prevents unauthorized use and copying thereof (see schmeidler col.2, lines 21-23). Geist in view of Schmeidler et al do not disclose received message header identifier association with public key, digital signature entity and the mapping. However Bisbee et al (6,367,013 B1) disclose header identifier association with public key, digital signature entity and the mapping (see fig.1a,23A4a and 5a and associated texts). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Bisbee et al 's digital signature

chaining in Geist's method, apparatus and storage medium signature verification

Art Unit: 2132

method steps and means in view of Schmeidler's public key digital signature algorithm in order to re-validate e-original object a current time stamp and digital signature and current authentication certificate.

As per claims 2, 5, 21, 24, 30, and 33, in addition to the teachings applied above, Geist discloses the storage, receipt, and digital signature verification map update of at least one acceptable message header identifier, which becomes a map entry (see fig.2-3).

As per claims 3, 22, and 31, in addition to the teachings applied above, Geist discloses that the generation step includes mapping the plurality of acceptable message header identifiers on a per certificate subject identification basis (see fig.1-3; col.3-5).

As per claims 4, 10, 12, 18, 23, and 32, in addition to the teachings applied above, Geist discloses the verification of a digital signature associated with received message information (see fig.2-3 and associated text).

As per claims 6, 14, 25, and 34, in addition to the teachings applied above, Geist discloses that the message header identifier includes at least one of data representing a sender's email address, telephone number, and unit identifier (see fig.1-3 and associated text).

Art Unit: 2132

As per claim 7, 26 and 35 Geist (6,757,827 B1) discloses the determination of a digital signature verification map to provide a trusted digital signature verification map (see fig.2-3)

8. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geist (6,757,827 B1) in view of Schmeidler et al. (6,763,370 B1) and further in view of Bisbee et al (6,367,013 B1) as applied to claims 1, 10, 20, and 29 above, and further in view of Cooper et al (US006O52442A).

As per claim 38 Geist in view of Schmeidler and further in view of Bisbee discloses the determination of a digital signature verification error (see as applied to claim 1 above) and the generation of a digital signature verification map (see as applied to claim 1 above). Geist also discloses the storage, receipt, and digital signature verification map update of at least one acceptable message header identifier, which becomes a map entry (see as applied above). Chan et al additionally discloses the verification of a digital signature associated with received message information (see as applied above). Geist in view of Schmeidler in view of Bisbee fails to expressly disclose the generation of a trusted alias map and the display of at least one subject alias. However, Cooper et al discloses these features (see the directory "display" and "mnemonic tag" in Cooper et al--page 9, first column, second and sixth paragraphs and column 10, first paragraph).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 2132

time the invention was made to modify the method of Geist in view of Schmeidler by generating a trusted alias map relating to corresponding mnemonic aliases of Cooper and by displaying these aliases in place of the same URLS and sender email addresses.

One of ordinary skill in the ad would have been motivated to do so in order to facilitate the identification of Geist's message sources in view of Schmeidler.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 40-44 are allowed.
- 10. Claims 9, 11, 13, 15, 28 and 37 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (571) 272-3811. The examiner can normally reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as 571-272-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status

Art Unit: 2132

information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KAMBIZ ZAND PRIMARY EXAMINER

03/14/2006

AU 2132